1 2	MELVIN R. GOLDMAN (CA SB NO. 34097) MGoldman@mofo.com STEPHEN P. FRECCERO (CA SB NO. 131093)	DAVID L. MEYER MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW			
3	SFreccero@mofo.com DEREK F. FORAN (CA SB NO. 224569)	Suite 6000 Washington, DC 20006-1888			
4	DForan@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	Telephone: 202.887.1500 Facsimile: 202.887.0763			
5	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482	1 desimile. 202.007.0703			
6	Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522				
7					
8	Counsel for Defendant Epson Imaging Devices Corporation				
9	[Additional counsel and parties listed on signature				
10	page]				
11	UNITED STATES DIS				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT				
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
13 14	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. M:07-1827 SI MDL No. 1827			
15	This Document Relates to: Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING			
16	Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI	1			
16 17		ORDER REGARDING SERVICE			
16 17 18	Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI TracFone Wireless, Inc.	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]			
16 17 18 19	Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI TracFone Wireless, Inc. Plaintiff, v. AU Optronics Corporation; AU Optronics	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SERVICE			
16 17 18	Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI TracFone Wireless, Inc. Plaintiff, v.	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]			
16 17 18 19	Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI TracFone Wireless, Inc. Plaintiff, v. AU Optronics Corporation; AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc.; Chi Mei Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; CMO Japan Co. Ltd.;	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SERVICE			
16 17 18 19 20	Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI TracFone Wireless, Inc. Plaintiff, v. AU Optronics Corporation; AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc.; Chi Mei Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; CMO Japan Co. Ltd.; Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.; Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc.; Epson Imaging Devices Corporation;	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SERVICE			
16 17 18 19 20 21	Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI TracFone Wireless, Inc. Plaintiff, v. AU Optronics Corporation; AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc.; Chi Mei Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; CMO Japan Co. Ltd.; Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.; Nexgen Mediatech USA,	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SERVICE			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI TracFone Wireless, Inc. Plaintiff, V. AU Optronics Corporation; AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc.; Chi Mei Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; CMO Japan Co. Ltd.; Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.; Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc.; Epson Imaging Devices Corporation; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.; Tatung Company of America, Inc.; HannStar Display Corporation; LG Display Co. Ltd.; LG Display America Inc.;	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SERVICE			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI TracFone Wireless, Inc. Plaintiff, V. AU Optronics Corporation; AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc.; Chi Mei Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; CMO Japan Co. Ltd.; Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.; Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc.; Epson Imaging Devices Corporation; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.; Tatung Company of America, Inc.; HannStar Display Corporation; LG Display Co. Ltd.; LG Display America Inc.; Sharp Corporation; Sharp Electronics Corporation; Toshiba	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SERVICE			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI TracFone Wireless, Inc. Plaintiff, V. AU Optronics Corporation; AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc.; Chi Mei Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; CMO Japan Co. Ltd.; Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.; Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc.; Epson Imaging Devices Corporation; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.; Tatung Company of America, Inc.; HannStar Display Corporation; LG Display Co. Ltd.; LG Display America Inc.; Sharp Corporation; Sharp Electronics	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SERVICE			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	TracFone Wireless, Inc. Plaintiff, V. AU Optronics Corporation; AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc.; Chi Mei Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; CMO Japan Co. Ltd.; Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.; Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc.; Epson Imaging Devices Corporation; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.; Tatung Company of America, Inc.; HannStar Display Corporation; LG Display Co. Ltd.; LG Display America Inc.; Sharp Corporation; Sharp Electronics Corporation; Toshiba Corporation; Toshiba America Electronics Components, Inc.; Toshiba	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SERVICE			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	TracFone Wireless, Inc. Plaintiff, V. AU Optronics Corporation; AU Optronics Corporation America, Inc.; Chi Mei Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; CMO Japan Co. Ltd.; Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.; Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc.; Epson Imaging Devices Corporation; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.; Tatung Company of America, Inc.; HannStar Display Corporation; LG Display Co. Ltd.; LG Display America Inc.; Sharp Corporation; Sharp Electronics Corporation; Toshiba Corporation; Toshiba America Electronics Components, Inc.; Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd.; Toshiba America	ORDER REGARDING SERVICE AND SCHEDULING Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-03205-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SERVICE			

Stipulation and Proposed Order regarding response to Tracfone Complaint Case No. M: 07-1827 sf-2863690

lawsuit on May 4, 2010 in United States District Court, Southern District of Florida; WHEREAS TracFone filed a Notice of Tag-Along Action to In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation, Case No. M:07-CV-1827-SI, MDL No. 1827, on May 20, 2010; WHEREAS on June 22, 2010, the case was transferred to the Northern District of WHEREAS, the undersigned Defendants have agreed to waive service and the parties have reached an agreement, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a), to extend the time within which the Defendants must move against, answer or otherwise respond to TracFone's Complaint; WHEREAS, this extension will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, TracFone, on the one hand, and defendants Chi Mei Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; CMO Japan Co. Ltd.; Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.; Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc.; Epson Imaging Devices Corporation; Tatung Company of America, Inc.; HannStar Display Corporation; LG Display Co. Ltd.; LG Display America Inc.; Sharp Corporation; Sharp Electronics Corporation; Toshiba Corporation; Toshiba America Electronics Components, Inc.; Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd.; and Toshiba America Information Systems (collectively, Defendants waive service of TracFone's Complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Defendants will have until September 17, 2010, to move against, answer or

In the event that TracFone amends its Original Complaint prior to September 17, 2010, TracFone will provide Defendants with reasonable notice of its intent to do so by no later than September 3, 2010, and Defendants will have until either 45 days from the date of

28

26

27

1					
1	amendment, or September 17, 2010, whichever is later, to move against, answer or otherwise				
2	respond to the Amended Complaint.				
3	4. Entering into this stipulation does not effect a waiver of any defense under				
4	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12. This stipulation does not constitute a waiver of any				
5	challenge to personal jurisdiction by any Defendant.				
6	5. Defendants do not object to counsel for TracFone participating in depositions				
7	prior to the time that Defendants respond to the Complaint or Amended Complaint.				
8					
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED:				
10	Dated: July 26, 2010 By: /s/ David B. Esau				
11	James B. Baldinger Robert L. Ciotti				
10	David B. Esau				
12	Carlton Fields, P.A.				
13	525 Okeechobee Boulevard				
	West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 659-7070				
14	(561) 659-7070 (561) 659-7368 (Fax)				
15	Counsel for TracFone Wireless, Inc.				
16	By:/s/ Stephen P. Freccero				
17	Melvin Goldman (CA SB NO. 34097) Stephen P. Freccero (CA SB NO. 131093)				
18	Derek F. Foran (CA SB NO. 224569)				
19	mgoldman@mofo.com sfreccero@mofo.com				
20	dforan@mofo.com Morrison & Foerster LLP				
21	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482				
22	(415) 268-7000				
23	(415) 268-7522 (Fax) Counsel for Defendants Epson Imaging				
24	Device Corporation				
25					
26					
27					
28					

1	By:/s/ Steven F. Cherry
2	Steven F. Cherry
-	Gordon Pearson
3	steven.cherry@wilmerhale.com
,	gordon.pearson@wilmerhale.com Wilmer Cutler Pickering
4	Hale and Dorr LLP
5	1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
	Washington, DC 20006-3642
6	Counsel for Defendants
7	Chi Mei Corporation, Chi Mei
′	Optoelectronics Corporation, CMO Japan
8	Co., Ltd., Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA
	Inc., Nexgen Mediatech Inc., Nexgen
9	Mediatech USA Inc.
10	By:/s/ Bruce H. Jackson
11	Bruce H. Jackson
	Bruce.h.jackson@bakernet.com
12	Baker & McKenzie LLP
12	Two Embarcadero Center, 11th Floor
13	San Francisco, CA 94111
14	Counsel for Defendant
15	Tatung Company of America, Inc.
13	By:/s/ Hugh F. Bangasser
16	Hugh F. Bangasser
17	Ramona Emerson
1 /	hugh.bangasser@klgates.com
18	ramona.emerson@klgates.com K& L Gates LLP
10	925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900
19	Seattle, WA 98104-1158
20	Counsel for Defendant
	Hannstar Display Corporation
21	
22	By: <u>/s/ Michael R. Lazerwitz</u> Michael R. Lazerwitz
23	mlazerwitz@cgsh.com
23	Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP
24	2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
25	Washington, D.C. 20006
25	Counsel for Defendants
26	LG Display Co., Ltd and LG Display America, Inc.
27	America, Inc.
28	

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 1929 Filed 07/28/10 Page 5 of 5

1	2). <u></u>		
2	Jacob R. Sorensen jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com		
3	Dillahury Winthron Chayy Dittmor		
3	50 Fremont Street, 5th Floor		
4			
5	Counsel for Defendants		
5	Sharp Corporation and Sharp Ele Corporation	ectronics	
6	5		
7	By: /s/ Wayne A. Cross		
o	Wayne A. Cross Kristen McAhren		
8	John H. Chung		
9	wcross@whitecase.com		
	kmcahren@whitecase.com		
10	jchung@whitecase.com		
11	WHITE O CACELLD		
11	1155 Avenue of the Americas		
12	New York, NY 10036		
12	Counsel for Defendants	_	
13	Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mi		
14	Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba Ameri		
	Electronic Components, Inc., Tos		
15	America Information Systems, Inc	?.	
16	Attestation: The filer of this document attests that the concurrence of the other		
17	signatories thereto has been obtained.		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19	Hon. Susan Illston		
20	TT 1: 10: . District 1		
21			
22	2		
23	3		
24	1		
25	5		
26	5		
27	7		
28	3		